

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Department of Employment Services**

**VINCENT C. GRAY**  
**MAYOR**



**LISA M. MALLORY**  
**DIRECTOR**

***CRB No. 12-058***

**CHARLINE SMITH-THOMPSON,**

**Claimant–Petitioner,**

**v.**

***DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,***

***Employer–Respondent.***

Appeal from a Compensation Order of  
Administrative Law Judge David L. Boddie  
AHD No. PBL 10-009C, DCP No. 30090325759-0001

William J. Howard, for the Petitioner

Ross Buchholz, Esquire, for the Respondent

Before JEFFREY P. RUSSELL,<sup>1</sup> LAWRENCE D. TARR and HENRY W. MCCOY, *Administrative Appeals Judges.*

JEFFREY P. RUSSELL, for the Compensation Review Board:

***ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION***

On July 25, 2012, the Compensation Review Board (CRB) issued a Decision and Order affirming a Compensation order issued by an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) from the hearings section of the Department of Employment Services (DOES) denying Petitioner’s claim for benefits on the grounds that Petitioner’s evidence failed to establish a medical causal relationship between her alleged injury and her employment.

The regulation governing practice and procedure before the CRB with respect to Motions for Reconsideration, 7 DCMR 268.1, states “Any party may, within ten (10) calendar days from the

---

<sup>1</sup> Judge Russell is appointed by the Director of DOES as an CRB member pursuant to DOES Administrative Policy Issuance No. 12-01 (June 2, 2012).

date shown on the certificate of service of the Decision and Order of the Board or of any order issued by the Board, file a request for reconsideration thereof with the Clerk of the Board.”<sup>2</sup>

The parties had until August 6, 2012 to file a Motion for Reconsideration. Petitioner’s motion was not filed until August 28, 2012, and was therefore more than two weeks late under the regulations. Accordingly it is denied as being untimely.

FOR THE COMPENSATION REVIEW BOARD:

---

JEFFREY P. RUSSELL  
*Administrative Appeals Judge*

---

November 15, 2012  
DATE

---

<sup>2</sup> 7 DCMR 270.2 states “A request for reconsideration of a Decision and Order pursuant to section 268 does not stay the period for filing an appeal with the D.C. Court of Appeals”, which period is as prescribed by that court.